

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 DAVID RADEMAKER,)	No. C 10-1659 LHK (PR)
12 Plaintiff,)	ORDER GRANTING
13 v.)	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
14 A. HEDGEPETH, Warden, et al.,)	EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
15 Defendants.)	OPPOSITION TO
)	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
)	SUMMARY JUDGMENT

16 Plaintiff, a state prisoner, filed the instant *pro se* prisoner complaint under 42 U.S.C.
17 § 1983. Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for extension of time to file his opposition to
18 Defendants' motion for summary judgment.

19 Because it appears no party would be prejudiced by an extension, Plaintiff's motion is
20 GRANTED. Plaintiff shall file his opposition, **on or before February 9, 2011**. Defendants
21 shall file their reply no later than **fifteen (15) days** thereafter.

22 This order terminates docket no. 23.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 DATED: 1/25/11


25 LUCY H. KOH
United States District Judge